Communication Re: Appeal	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/809,454	RETZLOFF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher S. Kim	3752
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on 19 April 2004 i	s not acceptable because:	
(a) it was not timely filed.		
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal wa	as not submitted. See 37 CFR	1.17(b).
(c) the appeal fee received on was	not timely filed.	
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insuffici	ent. The appeal fee required by	37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 rejection in this application.	CFR 1.191 in that there is no r	ecord of a second or a final
(f) 🛛 a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was n	nailed by the Office 😝 (attache	<u>d)</u> .
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acce	ptable for the reason(s) indicate	ed below:
(a) \square the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. S	ee 37 CFR 1.192.	
(b) $\ \square$ the statutory fee for filing the brief has	not been submitted. See 37 CF	FR 1.17(c).
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is ins	sufficient. The brief fee required	I by 37 CFR 1.17(c) is \$
The appeal in this application will be dismiss brief and requisite fee. Extensions of time m		
3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSED) because:	
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as reperiod for obtaining an extension of times.		
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the pe CFR 1.136 has expired.	eriod for obtaining an extension	of time to file the brief under 37
(c) ☐ Request for Continued Examination (F(d) ☐ other:	RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was t	filed on
(d) 🖂 Other.		
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this		
(a) is abandoned because there are no all		
(b) is before the examiner for final disposit on the merits remains CLOSED.	ion because it contains allowed	claims. Prosecution
(c) is before the examiner for consideration to 37 CFR 1.114.	Z C P	hristopher S. Kim rimary Examiner rt Unit: 3752